

# MINUTES OF MEETING

## State Heritage Register Committee

Wednesday August 1, 2007  
Commencing at 12.00 pm

Heritage Office – Department of Planning  
Heritage Office Library  
3 Marist Place  
Parramatta



### 1.0 Attendance

---

**PRESENT** Sharon Sullivan  
Cameron White (Rep. Reece McDougall)  
Michael Clarke  
Rosemary Annabel  
Jason Ardler

**APOLOGIES** John Robertson  
Hewitt Whyman  
Tina Jackson

**ALSO IN ATTENDANCE** Bruce Baskerville  
(NSW Heritage Office) Tim Smith  
Perpetual Fernandes  
Anthony Mitchell  
Bronwyn Hanna  
Susan Lampard  
Claudine Loffi

### Opening – Welcome

---

The Chair opened the meeting at 1.35 pm.

### 2.0 Declarations of Interest

---

Nil

### 3.0 Confirmation of Minutes

---

The minutes of the previous meeting held July 4<sup>th</sup>, 2007 were noted and adopted by the Committee, subject to the resolution below.

#### Item 7.1 State Heritage Register Nominations

Resolved

The State Heritage Register Committee:

1. endorses the comments of members made at the meeting of July 4<sup>th</sup> 2007 for the following nominations:
  - A. The Towers, Belmore
  - B. St Thomas' Anglican Church, School Church and Cemetery, Narellan
  - C. La Perouse Monuments Historic Site, La Perouse
  - D. Manly Cemetery, Balgowlah
  - E. South Western Sydney Institute of TAFE, Liverpool
  - F. Thames Street Wharf, Balmain
  - G. Reader's Digest Building, Surry Hills
  - H. Pipe Organ, St Brigid's Catholic Church, Marrickville
  - I. Elizabeth Bay House Escarpment, Elizabeth Bay
  - J. Elizabeth Bay House Grotto Site and Works, Elizabeth Bay
  - K. Silo Hill, Stroud
  - L. Kempsey Showground, Kempsey
  - M. Toganmain Woolshed Complex, Carathool
  - N. Bradman's Birthplace, Cootamundra
  - O. Wagga Wagga Church Precinct
  - P. Merribee Station Homestead, Binya
  - Q. Nangunia Homestead, Berrigan
  - R. Old Moulamein Courthouse, Moulamein
  - S. Whispering Gallery, Natural Heritage Site, Dunmore

**Moved : Jason Ardler**  
**Seconded: Michael Clarke**

#### **4.0 Action Report**

---

Members briefly discussed the proposal to defer the planned Expert's Workshop on the State Heritage Register, primarily because of the recently announced review of the Heritage Act by the Minister. This matter is discussed in more detail in Item 5.1.

#### **5.0 Minutes, reports and matters arising from HC Advisory Panels**

---

##### **5.1 SHR Workshop: draft discussion paper and agenda**

In light of the Minister's announcement to appoint a panel to review the Heritage Act, Members proposed to:

- Reschedule the planned workshop date in consultation with the Executive Director;
- Explicitly consider the Terms of Reference of the Review of the Act, as announced by the Minister;
- Incorporate the Terms of Reference into the workshop's discussion paper;
- Refocus the workshop to align with the Review and its Terms of Reference;
- Review the workshop invitation list in light of the announced Review;
- Hold the workshop at some time during the Review; and
- Refer the outcomes of the workshop to the Minister's Review Panel for consideration.

Other recommended changes to the discussion paper requested by Members are:

- Paper to be edited to reduce its length;
- Increase the use of diagrams and statistics; and
- Include relevant material from the Productivity Commission Inquiry into Heritage – specifically the submission by the Heritage Chairs and Officials.

It was agreed that the workshop should address the third Term of Reference of the Review, as well as other issues relevant:

3. **State heritage provisions and practice, including but not limited to:**
  - (a) improvements that can be made to the listing process;
  - (b) alternatives to the listing process [eg heritage agreements];
  - (c) the public benefit of outcomes;
  - (d) the test for achieving State heritage status;
  - (e) the role of the property owner or stakeholders and appeal rights;
  - (f) the approval process for alterations to items on the SHR, including the rights of property owners and stakeholders; and
  - (g) resource and time efficiency.

Members also suggested that the Heritage Office consider the Victorian Government's Heritage Strategy in terms of:

- The review process;
- The contents of the strategy; and
- How the strategy was prepared.

Members agreed on the desirability of the Chair of the SHR Committee meeting with the Chair of the Review Panel. Members requested a revised discussion paper be submitted to the next meeting of the Committee in Griffith.

**Resolved:**

**That the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee:**

1. Notes the proposed workshop has been deferred for the time being and agrees to reschedule the event to take place during the Minister's Review of the Heritage Act, with timing to be decided in consultation with the Executive Director, Heritage Office;
2. Seeks to make a submission to the Review of the Heritage Act;
3. Will consider the key outcomes of the planned workshop as part of any submission to the Review of the Heritage Act;
4. Reviews the invitation list and expertise available at the proposed workshop, having regard to additional issues raised by the announced Review of the Heritage Act;
5. Requests the Heritage Office to advise on the Review Panel's procedures for the Review of the Heritage Act;
6. Requests the Heritage Office to submit a revised draft of the workshop discussion paper to the next meeting of the Committee;
7. Provides the Heritage Office with any comment on the draft discussion paper and draft agenda directly or via the email address [bruce.baskerville@heritage.nsw.gov.au](mailto:bruce.baskerville@heritage.nsw.gov.au)

Moved : Rosemary Annable  
Seconded: Michael Clarke

## **6.0 Presentations**

---

### **6.1 Energy Australia S.170 Register**

Presentations were made by Mr James Hart, Manager Environmental Services and Mr Maclaren North, Heritage Advisor to Energy Australia, regarding the presentation of the Heritage & Conservation Register.

The Register is based on a review of earlier registers and has been integrated into one electronic database, with further information added. Most of the heritage assets identified by this register are substations built between the 1920s and 1950s. This register does not attempt to list all examples of a particular type but to make listing recommendations based on significance, the condition of the assets, their level of subsequent modification, their contribution to their streetscapes and their ability to be re-used for operational purposes.

The review identifies 206 properties owned by Energy Australia as worthy of inclusion within the register. The review further recommends that 117 items previously identified as being of potential heritage significance be excluded from the final register. An appropriate rationale is presented for each proposed exclusion.

Of the identified heritage items, 12 are assessed as potentially State significant, with the remaining 194 being assessed locally significant. Of the 12 items identified as potentially State significant, nine are already listed on the State Heritage Register. One item currently on the SHR is recommended for de-listing.

### **6.2 Heritage Asset Management Strategy - Sydney Cricket Ground & Reserve Trust**

The second presentation was made by Mr Michael Bangel, General Manager, Properties, of the Sydney Cricket Ground & Reserve Trust's Heritage Asset Management Strategy, with Ms Sheridan Bourke, Principle of Godden Mackay Logan, engaged as heritage consultants to the Trust.

The document includes a staged completion strategy for the draft s.170 Register, Thematic History and movable register. The document clearly articulates the Trust's commitment to heritage management, TAM and S170 of the Heritage Act 1977. The HAMS also details staff awareness and training packages, oral history recordings, further development of movable heritage management through the established museum, and celebration of its rich heritage.

The Heritage Office was involved in its development through site meetings.

## **7.0 SHR – Notice of Intention to list**

---

### **7.1 M24 Midget Submarine**

Tim Smith spoke on this matter. In order to provide immediate security to the site, the NSW Minister for Planning gazetted an interim heritage order over the site under Section 24 of the Heritage Act on 1 December 2006. The IHO ceases to apply on 1 December 2007.

Dating from 1942, *M24* represents the only Type A Imperial Japanese Navy midget submarine located underwater in Australia. The *M24* is of heritage significance to Australia and Japan, the wreck is representative of Japanese submarine operations off Australia's eastern seaboard during the war and a direct physical reminder of the conflict at Sydney. Internationally, it represents one of only five Japanese midget submarine wreck sites located in their unique underwater contexts. The archaeological site is therefore of considerable importance in the comparative analysis of midget submarine wrecks discovered world-wide. As the *M24* hull is substantially intact, the hull is likely to contain the remains of its two-man crew, Sub Lieutenant Ban and Petty Officer Ashibe. While there has been no visual evidence to confirm the existence of human remains to date, there is corroborative evidence to suggest that the crew did not escape the vessel.

In regard to the three submarines that attacked Sydney Harbour, *M24* is the only one retained in its original battle context and undisturbed, apart from the obvious effects of net entrapment and corrosion activity.

The proposed item meets all 7 of the Heritage Council criteria for listing on the State Heritage Register in terms of criteria a (historical values), b (associations with people or groups of people), c (aesthetic values), d (community esteem), e (research potential), f (rarity), g (representative values). The Committee noted that the social significance values of the nomination could be enhanced.

The Heritage Office has completed an assessment of significance for the site and has concluded that it warrants listing on the State Heritage Register as an item of State heritage significance.

**Resolved :**

**That the State Heritage Register Committee :**

1. considers the item known as "the *M24* Japanese midget submarine wreck site" located in unincorporated waters off Sydney's Northern Beaches (Newport), defined in accordance with the curtilage shown at Annexure B may be of State heritage significance;
2. in accordance with section 33(1)(a) of the Heritage Act, will give each person that it considers to be an affected owner or occupier written notice that it is going to consider whether or not to recommend the listing of the item concerned (a Notice of Intention to Consider Listing); and
3. in accordance with section 33(1)(b) of the Heritage Act, within 14 days after notice of intention to consider listing is given, will cause a notice of intention to consider listing to be published in a newspaper circulating in the area in which the item is situated, inviting submissions on the listing, specifying a date as the closing date for the receipt of submissions of 60 days after the publication of the newspaper notice and the manner in which submissions may be made.

**Moved:** Jason Ardler

**Seconded:** Michael Clarke

## **7.2 All Saints Anglican Condobolin**

Bronwyn Hanna spoke on this matter. The All Saints Anglican Church, Condobolin, built in 1878 and attributed to Edmund Blacket, was nominated for listing on the SHR by the Anglican Church Diocese of Bathurst in association with the Anglican Parish of Condobolin and Lachlan Shire's Heritage Advisor, Jillian Comber.

The Heritage Office referred a Notice of Intention to List report to the SHR Committee in April 2006. The Committee expressed doubts about whether this church was of State significance but resolved that it could be advertised.

The Heritage Office did not advertise an intention to list at that time but further investigated its heritage significance in light of the Committee's comments. The Heritage Office requested the Heritage Council's Religious Property Advisory Panel to re-consider the property. The Panel's Chair Hector Abrahams submitted a letter explaining his reasons for believing it is of State significance at Annexure E of the report. The Heritage Office also commissioned a report, as Annexure F, on the Lyon Cottier & Co stained glass windows at Condobolin from consultant Robin Hedditch which found that the windows are of local rather than state significance. Further, Jillian Comber submitted a report, as Annexure G, illustrating plans of Blacket churches similar to All Saints Anglican Church Condobolin.

The Heritage Office has also received a copy of a conservation management plan for All Saints Anglican Church Condobolin authored by David Scobie, dated October 2006. Its assessment of heritage significance is "State".

The Heritage Office considers that there is now sufficient evidence confirming that All Saints Anglican Church Condobolin is of State significance to justify re-presenting this Intention to List report to the SHR Committee.

**Resolved :**

**That the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee:**

- 1. considers the item known as 'All Saints Anglican Church', McDonnell Street Condobolin, defined in accordance with the curtilage shown at Annexure B may be of State heritage significance;**
- 2. in accordance with section 33(1)(a) of the Heritage Act, will give each person that it considers to be an affected owner or occupier written notice that it is going to consider whether or not to recommend the listing of the item concerned (a Notice of Intention to Consider Listing); and**
- 3. in accordance with section 33(1)(b) of the Heritage Act, within 14 days after notice of intention to consider listing is given, will cause a notice of intention to consider listing to be published in a newspaper circulating in the area in which the item is situated, inviting submissions on the listing, specifying a date as the closing date for the receipt of submissions of 28 days after the publication of the newspaper notice and the manner in which submissions may be made.**

**Moved: Rosemary Annable  
Seconded: Jason Ardler**

### **7.3 Bondi Beach Cultural landscape**

Anthony Mitchell spoke to this matter. The Bondi Beach Cultural Landscape was nominated by Waverley Council in 2007. The site is located at Bondi Beach in the Local Government Area of Waverley. The nominated area includes the Bondi Beach, Bondi Park Bondi Surf Pavilion, Bondi Surf Life Saving Club and the North Bondi Surf Life Saving Club.

The iconic kilometre stretch of beach is largely defined by the focal c.1928 Mediterranean/Georgian style Bondi Surf Pavilion and beach promenade.

As the curtilage and description of Council's nomination exclude the Bondi Baths, the Heritage Office has deleted these references from the draft plan. The curtilage recommended by the Heritage Office (if approved) is consistent with the nominated curtilage as defined by Waverley Council, but reflecting the correct land descriptions as detailed by the Department of Lands.

The nominator, Waverley Council, has not sought any site specific exemptions, however, in view of the range of lessees, activities and uses of the place, it is likely that site specific exemptions will be required. The Heritage Office considers it prudent to identify the need for site specific exemptions and to develop them in consultation with Waverley Council and the Department of Lands.

The proposed item meets at least 5 of the seven Heritage Council criteria for listing on the State Heritage Register in terms of criteria a (historical values), c (aesthetic values), d (community esteem), f (rarity), g (representative values).

The Aboriginal Heritage Advisory Panel has not considered the nomination to date. It is proposed that the Panel consider the nomination at its next meeting.

**Resolved**

**That the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee:**

- 1. considers the item known as "Bondi Beach Cultural Landscape" at Queen Elizabeth Drive, Bondi Beach, defined in accordance with the curtilage shown at Annexure B may be of State heritage significance;**
- 2. in accordance with section 33(1)(a) of the Heritage Act, will give each person that it considers to be an affected owner or occupier written notice that it is going to consider whether or not to recommend the listing of the item concerned (a Notice of Intention to Consider Listing);**
- 3. in accordance with section 33(1)(b) of the Heritage Act, within 14 days after notice of intention to consider listing is given, will cause a notice of intention to consider listing to be published in a newspaper circulating in the area in which the item is situated, inviting submissions on the listing, specifying a date as the closing date for the receipt of submissions of 28 days after the publication of the newspaper notice and the manner in which submissions may be made; and**

4. Directs the Heritage Office to develop suitable Site Specific Exemptions for the Bondi beach Cultural landscape in consultation with both Waverley Council and the Department of Lands.

Moved: Jason Ardler

Seconded: Rosemary Annable

## 8.0 SHR – Recommendation to Minister

---

### 8.1 Hay Gaol

Bronwyn Hanna spoke on this matter. This item was presented as intention to consider listing in December 2006.

Hay Gaol is a complex of buildings dating from 1880 sited near the centre of the Hay township in the LGA of Hay Shire. It is currently used as a local history museum.

The Heritage Office considers the place is State significant on all seven heritage criteria. It is aesthetically important as a James-Barnett-designed Victorian era Gaol with vernacular design detailing. It is the basis of the "Hay Gaol" design type discussed by JS Kerr in *Out of Site Out of Mind*. Used variously as a prison, hospital, emergency housing, coercive girls' detention centre and now museum, it has many links to NSW's history themes, people and groups and to social issues. It has archaeological and historical research potential, rarity and representative values.

The proposed curtilage is the entire city block upon which the gaol is sited. The Heritage Office and the Hay Gaol Committee have agreed on a series of site specific exemptions included in the report as Annexure E, to expedite its continued operation as a museum and to encourage the development of a conservation management plan and collections management policy.

There are no contentious issues associated with the nomination.

**Resolved**

**That the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee:**

1. in accordance with section 33 (1)(d) of the Heritage Act, 1977 advises the Minister that the item known as "Hay Gaol" at 355 Church Street, Hay, is of State heritage significance, as shown in the plan at Annexure B;
2. recommends to the Minister, in accordance with sections 32(1) and (2) of the Heritage Act, that the Minister directs the listing of the item, "Hay Gaol" at 355 Church Street, Hay, on the State Heritage Register if the Minister considers the item is of State heritage significance;
3. in accordance with sections 33 (1)(e) of the Heritage Act 1977, gives notice of its decision to persons notified under section 33(1)(a); and
4. in accordance with section 57(2) of the Heritage Act, OR recommend the Minister grant the following exemption/s from section 57(1) in addition to the Heritage Council Standard Exemptions:
  - 1) Installation and alterations for interpretative signage, internally and externally, to provide information on the heritage significance of the item, where such signage is sympathetic with

the materials and spaces of the heritage item, is free-standing or is fixed into mortar joints with a minimum number of fixtures, and is in accordance with the conservation policies of a Conservation Management Plan.

- 2) Activities associated with the ongoing use of the land and buildings for leisure and tourism purposes provided they are in accord with the policies in a Conservation Management Plan for the site and do not materially affect the heritage significance of the place.
- 3) Activities associated with the maintenance, rehabilitation and revegetation of the landscape provided they are in accord with the policies in a Conservation Management Plan for the site and do not materially affect the heritage significance of the place.
- 4) Maintenance and repair of play equipment in the areas outside the perimeter walls of the gaol which do not materially affect the heritage significance of the place.
- 5) All curatorial activities within the item identified in any Collections Management Policy.

Moved: Michael Clarke

Seconded: Rosemary Annabel

## 8.2 Nyngan Chinese Graves and Burner

Susan Lampard spoke to this matter. The Chinese Graves and Burner at Nyngan Cemetery was nominated under the Chinese Australian Cultural Heritage in NSW Study.

The Chinese section of the Nyngan General Cemetery is of State significance as one of the largest collections of Chinese grave stones (9) in association with a burner in the State. The burner itself is of significance. While not being a lavish example, it is of an unusual design, not found in China but only in places like California and Australia, which indicated of adaptations made by Chinese to life outside their country. The Chinese section of the cemetery dates from at least 1913. This item is representative of the contribution made by Chinese, through their labour and produce to the Central West of New South Wales.

The burner and markers are evidence of Chinese funeral practices as carried out in New South Wales and provide research potential regarding, for example, the number of burials exhumed and returned to China. The notification period elicited further information on the social values of the burner to the people of Nyngan.

In light of the assessed significance and the additional information obtained during the notification period, the item is considered to be of State significance.

**Resolved:**

**That the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee:**

- (1) in accordance with section 33 (1)(d) of the Heritage Act, 1977 advises the Minister that the item known as "Chinese Graves and Burner at Nyngan Cemetery" at Cemetery Road, Nyngan, is of State heritage significance, as shown in the plan at Annexure B;

- (2) recommends to the Minister, in accordance with sections 32(1) and of the Heritage Act, that the Minister directs the listing of the item, "Chinese Graves and Burner at Nyngan Cemetery" at Cemetery Road, Nyngan, on the State Heritage Register if the Minister considers the item is of State heritage significance;
- (3) in accordance with sections 33 (1)(e) of the Heritage Act 1977, gives notice of its decision to persons notified under section 33(1)(a); and in accordance with section 57(2) of the Heritage Act, note that the Heritage Council Standard Exemptions will apply.

Moved: Jason Ardler

Seconded: Michael Clarke

## **9.0 State Government Heritage (Section 170 Register /HAMS)**

---

### **9.1 S.170 Register – Energy Australia Register**

The Panel noted the presentation by Mr James Hart, Manager Environmental Services and Mr Maclaren North, Heritage Advisor to Energy Australia, regarding the presentation of the Heritage & Conservation Register. Energy Australia has completed a Heritage Asset Management Strategy (HAMS) which has been endorsed by the Committee and has completed its first annual review of same. The S.170 Register was undertaken to update the 1994 and 2002 draft registers and involved both desk top and site-based assessments of all identified heritage assets managed by the agency.

Most of the heritage assets identified by this register are substations built between the 1920s and 1950s. This register does not attempt to list all examples of a particular type, but makes listing recommendations based on significance, the condition of the assets, their level of subsequent modification, their contribution to their streetscapes and their ability to be re-used for operational purposes.

The review identifies 206 properties owned by Energy Australia as worthy of inclusion within the Register. The review further recommends that 117 items previously identified as being of potential heritage significance be excluded from the final Register. An appropriate rationale was presented for the proposed exclusions. Of the identified heritage items, 12 are assessed as potentially State significant, with nine already listed on the State Heritage Register, with the remaining 194 being assessed locally significant. One item currently listed on the SHR is recommended for de-listing, with 3 additional nominations.

The Committee commended Energy Australia for its proactive approach to meeting the S170 requirements of the Heritage Act and the State Agency Guide.

#### **Resolved**

**That the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee:**

- 1) endorses the Energy Australia Section 170 Heritage & Conservation Register, dated May 2007;

- 2) recommends the Heritage Office enter into negotiations with Energy Australia for processing nominations for four identified items identified for listing or de-listing on the State Heritage Register; and
- 3) recommends that future reviews of the register should consider movable heritage assets managed by the agency in relation to Energy Australia's technological and administrative history.
- 4) recommends that the Heritage Office enter into discussions with Energy Australia concerning opportunities for the agency to apply for the delegation of certain Heritage Council functions, pending meeting requisite benchmarks.

Moved: Jason Ardler  
Seconded: Michael Clarke

## 9.2 S.170 Heritage Asset Management Strategies (HAMS) for Endorsement

Tim Smith spoke on the matter. Six HAMS documents were submitted to the Committee. They were:

1. Sydney Cricket Ground and Reserve Trust
2. Jenolan Caves Reserve Trust
3. Department of Aging, disability & Home Care (DADHC)
4. University of NSW (UNSW)
5. University of Newcastle
6. NSW Fire Brigades

The Committee noted a presentation by Mr Michael Bangel, General Manager, Properties, of the Sydney Cricket Ground & Reserve Trust's Heritage Asset Management Strategy, with Ms Sheridan Bourke, Principle of Godden Mackay Logan, engaged as heritage consultants to the Trust.

The six (6) agencies submitted completed HAMS documents to the Heritage Office for formal review and presentation to the Committee for consideration to endorse. All documents submitted were identified to substantially meet the directives of the State Agency Guide (2005) and are of a high standard. Where required, some minor editorial improvements were noted to be completed to the satisfaction of the Executive Director, Heritage Office.

The Committee commended the Sydney Cricket Ground & Reserve Trust for the informative presentation and noted the wide ranging heritage identification, assessment, management and interpretative initiatives being undertaken. The Heritage Office requested the Trust to consider their work being showcased in the Section 170 E-bulletin, *State Assets*. The Heritage Office also noted its desire to publish the endorsed HAMS documents via its Section 170 Extranet resource sharing site by writing formally to each agency Chief Executive Officer.

**Resolved:**

**That the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee:**

- 1) accepts the six (6) tabled HAMS documents submitted by the Sydney Cricket Ground and Reserve Trust, Jenolan Caves Reserve Trust, Department of Aging, Disability & Home Care, University of NSW, University of Newcastle, and NSW Fire Brigades, in accordance with the

State Owned Heritage Management Principles and Heritage Asset Management Guidelines, issued under Section 170A(3) of the Heritage Act, 1977;

- 2) directs the Executive Director, Heritage Office, Department of Planning, to write to those agencies advising of same, and noting identified editorial comments for inclusion in final documents (where required), to the satisfaction of the Executive Director (Annexure "B"); and
- 3) congratulates these agencies for their HAMS submission.

Moved: Michael Clarke

Seconded: Rosemary Annabel

## 10.0 Interim Heritage Orders

---

### 10.1 Status Report

The report was noted by Members.

## 11.0 Environmental Planning Instruments & Heritage Studies

---

### 11.1 Status Reports

Members considered the status report of environmental planning instrumental planning instruments reviewed by the Heritage Office. The Members inquired about the amendments to the Maitland LEP. Members were advised that further information would be provided about the LEP at the next meeting.

Resolved :

That the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee:

1. notes this status report.

Moved :Jason Ardler

Seconded : Cameron White

## 12.0 Matters for Consideration

---

### 12.1 Levels of heritage significance

Claudine Loffi spoke to the matter, advising that the following changes had been made to the document following feedback from the Committee Members. The main changes made to the guidelines were as follows:

- description of the relative importance of local heritage significance (in section 2)
- reformatted criteria (a) to (g) and inserted plain English summary name (in section 5)
- Inserted definitions for national and world heritage significance (in section 9)
- Reviewed overall wording for greater clarity.

The committee requested the following amendments:

- Rewording the description of state significance (in Section 2)
- Replacing names of international advisory bodies with generic 'international expert bodies' (in Section 4)

The Committee also discussed briefly the term 'level' and the meaning of the term 'gradings' from the 'Assessing Heritage Significance Guideline'.

All the required amendments were made and accepted.

**Resolved**

**That the State Heritage Register Committee:**

1. endorses the guideline as amended.

### 13.0 General Business

---

**Nil**

The meeting closed at 4.30 pm

**Next Meeting of the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee**

**Wednesday August 30th 2007 Regional Visit  
Heritage Office – Department of Planning  
Heritage Office Library  
3 Marist Place  
Parramatta**

<b>Minutes endorsed by the Heritage Council State Heritage Register Committee.</b>	<hr/> <b>Chair, State Heritage Register Committee</b> <b>Date:</b>
--	---