

HERITAGE COUNCIL OF NSW MINUTES OF ORDINARY MEETING

Meeting Number 326

4th October 2006



NSW Heritage Office, 3 Marist Place, Parramatta

PRESENT Sharon Sullivan AO (Deputy Chair), Rosemary Annable, Jason Ardler (for Director-General Department of Environment and Conservation), Julie Bindon, Janet Dore, Ross Fitzgerald, Jacqui Goddard (National Trust of Australia [NSW]), Peter Mould (Government Architect), Susan Macdonald (Department of Planning, Director, Heritage Office).

APOLOGIES Michael Collins (Chair), Chris Johnson (Department of Planning), John Robertson, Hewitt Whyman, Reece McDougall (Executive Director, Heritage Office, Department of Planning), David Logan.

ALSO PRESENT Murray Brown (Heritage Office), Maxwell Gray (Heritage Office), Susan Macdonald (Heritage Office) Susan McHattie (Heritage Office), Vincent Sicari (Heritage Office), Cameron White (Heritage Office).

1. Opening – Welcome

The Chair opened the meeting at 9.00 a.m.

1.2 Confirmation and Timing of Agenda

The agenda was confirmed.

1.3 Declarations of Interest

Pecuniary Interests

Nil

Non Pecuniary Interests

Rosemary Annable

Port Macquarie Government House site – one of the consultants who prepared the CMP for the site for the NSW Heritage Office (c. 2002-3).

Conflicts of Interest

Nil

2.0 Confirmation of Minutes

2.1 Heritage Council Meeting of 2nd August 2006

Members received a copy of the minutes.

Resolved:

that the Minutes of the meetings of 2nd August 2006 be adopted.

3.0 Reports

3.1 Chairs Report

Nil

3.2 Executive Directors Report including

3.2.1 Matters approved under Delegation (Listings team)

3.2.2 Matters approved under Delegation (Conservation team)

3.2.3 Heritage Council Meeting Action Report

The Director advised members that Mr Shin Muramatsu, ICIMOS's expert assessor, visited Sydney recently in relation to the nomination of the Sydney Opera House for World Heritage Listing. Mr Muramatsu is a professor of Architecture from Tokyo University. The Commonwealth Department of Environment and Heritage, the Opera House and the Heritage Office hosted the visit. The Director felt that the visit was a very successful one and Mr Muramatsu was very interested in the management framework put in place for the Management of the Opera House.

It was noted that work on the Metropolitan and regional Planning Strategy integrating Heritage is moving along well, the Heritage Office has provided comments on five regional plans which will be coming out over the next few months. The Heritage Office is also working with the Cities & Centres strategy Division on the six city projects.

The Chairs and Officials meeting was recently held in Brisbane, the main topic of conversation at this meeting was Productivity Commission report into Heritage. It was agreed that the chairs would write to the Federal Treasurer with a statement on the report and its recommendations. NSW is also preparing a position paper.

The Heritage Office is in the process of reviewing its 1996 Conservation Area Management Guidelines to pick up on some aspects of the Productivity Commission, update with current language and case studies that are relevant to the Metro Strategy.

Members were advised that there has been a working group formed with the Institute of Architects to revise the Adaptive Reuse and Infill Guidelines which are to be delivered next year.

Members were also advised that the Religious Property Advisory Panel held a successful seminar last week at which 51 people attended.

Discussion on the convict sites continue, there has been no response from the Commonwealth on which sites have been agreed or whether the timeframe has been shifted.

The Lord Mayor of Sydney City Council has formed a Town Hall Working Committee which will look at long term management of the Town Hall.

It was also noted that Sydney City Council has developed a small expert advisory panel for the Obelisk, in Macquarie Place, as it has a number of conservation problems. The Director and Heritage Office staff form part of this panel.

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council note the report.

3.2.4 Business Manager Report

Members were advised that the draft State Plan sets a whole of government direction for the next decade in five themes being Plan ahead, Respect and responsibility, Improving services, Growing prosperity across NSW and Environment for living.

It was noted that the State Plan will drive Government priorities and resource allocation.

Heritage is not addressed directly in the draft State Plan. Management of the *Heritage Act 1977* can contribute to and enhance the Government's direction by strengthening communities through heritage experiences and participation, strengthening rural and regional economies through heritage tourism, improving urban environments by integrating heritage in areas of growth and improving heritage services

The Management Team has developed a series of policy proposals that respond to the directions of the draft State Plan for discussion with the Minister prior to further development. These are proposals within our existing program and resources and proposals achievable with increased resources.

It is proposed the Heritage Council endorse the proposals outlined in the report for discussion with the Minister. Following discussion with the Minister the Heritage Council will be engaged in the development of proposals.

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council:

1. note the report;
2. endorse the policy proposals in principal aligned with the State Plan for discussion with the Minister; and
3. engage in the further development of policy proposals following discussion with the Minister.

3.2.5 Media Highlights Presentation

Members were advised that a review has been undertaken of media over the 2005/6 financial year for the Heritage Council's annual report. It was noted that 70% of stories were positive in relation to heritage issues and 21% were negative. This compares with 74% positive media for July and August of this year.

New listings included Artist Grace Cossington-Smith's Turrumurra Home on the 10th August and Ethel Turner, the author of "Seven Little Australians", home at Killara on the 26th September.

Under the "Kick Start" initiative the Executive Director presented a cheque to assist with the Adelong Falls Gold Fields Reserve Appeal which was launched on Friday 22 September which received local media interest.

Emerging issues included "Rippon Grange" DA, Wahroonga and a community campaign for its listing, 'Collingwood' at Liverpool which has widespread interest in listing nomination and size of its curtilage and the proposed Catherine Bay development, which has been vigorously opposed by the local community.

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council note the report.

3.3 Report from Members on Items of Importance to the Heritage Council

Nil

4.0 Matters for Consideration and Decision

4.1 Minister's Request for Further Advice: Recommendation to List Rooty Hill and Morreau Reserve

Members were advised that the State Heritage Register Committee previously considered the State heritage significance of Rooty Hill and Morreau Reserve at the

meeting of 1 March 2006 and decided to recommended that the Minister list Rooty Hill on the State Heritage Register.

It was noted that in July 2006 the Minister wrote to Michael Collins, Chair of the Heritage Council of NSW requesting that the Heritage Council provide advice to the Minister on the historical significance of Rooty Hill, particularly Aboriginal cultural significance and the benefit to the State of listing an area of land that is owned by the Blacktown City Council and is identified as local open space and is within the Western Sydney Parklands corridor.

It was noted that the State Heritage Register Committee considers that the significance of the nominated place may need to be more clearly expressed and celebrated, and that the purpose of heritage listing as a recognition of cultural significance needs to be reinforced. The body of oral evidence that supports the ongoing significance of the site to the Aboriginal community may need to be better explained, and supported with more considered photographic evidence. The advice of the Aboriginal Heritage Advisory Panel may be of assistance in devising means of doing this. The strong community support for the listing is noted. The Committee reaffirmed that the site of State heritage significance both in terms of Aboriginal cultural significance and historic significance. Further, the Committee has identified tangible benefits of listing the site on the State Heritage Register.

After discussion the Heritage Council

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council agrees that Rooty Hill is of State Heritage Significance, as outlined the Heritage Data Form at Annexure C of the report (report to the March 2006 meeting of the State Heritage Register Committee), and in accordance with the resolution and comments of the State Heritage Register Committee meeting of September 2006 as summarised in this report, specifically:

- A. with regards to Aboriginal cultural heritage, the site is illustrative of the pre-contact, contact and post contact periods which are rare and under represented on the State Heritage Register and other heritage registers. Inclusion of this site on the SHR increases the richness and diversity;
- B. with regards to historic significance – as a remnant of rare colonial government depots and stock farms as further demonstrated by continuing stock grazing from those times to the present day;
- C. in terms of the benefits of listing on the State Heritage Register, listing identifies the State significance of the place and will provide for the conservation interpretation and celebration of the specific heritage values identified. It will also ensure that this is integrated in any proposals, strategies and management plans for the Western Sydney Parklands corridor, enabling a celebration of that story; and
- D. notes that the nomination is supported by owner and community and relevant experts.

4.2 Minister's Request for Further Advice: Recommendation to List Old Minchinbury Winery

Members were advised that the State Heritage Register Committee considered the State heritage significance of Old Minchinbury Winery and its meeting of 1st March 2006 decided to recommended that the Minister list the Old Minchinbury Winery on the State Heritage Register.

It was noted that in July 2006 the Minister wrote to Michael Collins, Chair of the Heritage Council of NSW requesting that the Heritage Council provide advice to the Minister on the historical significance of the property, and the benefit to the State of listing this property.

Members were advised that the State Heritage Register Committee considers that the significance of the nominated place needs to be clearly conveyed, and that the purpose of heritage listing as a recognition and celebration of cultural significance to the people of NSW needs to be reinforced. The views obtained from wine industry experts over the past few weeks have clearly indicated the significance of the site in the development of the wine industry in NSW. The SHR Committee have reaffirmed that the site is of State heritage significance in terms of its historic significance, and that there are tangible benefits of listing the site on the State Heritage Register.

After discussion the Heritage Council

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council agrees that The Old Minchinbury Winery is of State Heritage Significance, as outlined the Heritage Data Form at Annexure D of the report (report to the March 2006 meeting of the State Heritage Register Committee), and in accordance with the resolution and comments of the State Heritage Register Committee meeting of September 2006 as summarised in this report, specifically:

- A. with regards to the historic significance of the site, it demonstrates the contribution of the wine industry to the State from colonial times to the present day, the site type is rare and not represented on the SHR;**
- B. in terms of the benefits of listing on the State Heritage Register, listing will inform the community about the history of the site, enabling a celebration of that story, it also identifies the State significance of the place and will provide for the conservation interpretation and celebration of the specific heritage values identified;**
- C. provides eligibility for heritage incentives; and**
- D. notes the nomination is supported by owner, community and relevant experts who consider it to be of significance in relation to the development of the Australian wine industry.**

4.3 Potts Hill Reservoirs 1 & 2 and Site Cooper Street, Yagoona, Bankstown

Members were advised that Sydney Water and Landcom submitted two proposals to the Heritage Council on 2 June 2006 for the Potts Hill Reservoir Site. The first proposal is to revise the State Heritage Register (SHR) curtilage. The second proposal is to store soil/overburden as part of the development from within the Potts Hill Reservoir Site in Reservoir 1. Both proposals are pre-DA submissions for comment by the Heritage Council.

It was noted that at its meeting of 2 August 2006 the Heritage Council resolved to form a sub-committee to inspect the site and bring forward recommendations to the Heritage Council.

Members were further advised that on 23 August 2006 the Heritage Council sub-committee consisting of Rosemary Annable, Jacqui Goddard, David Logan and Reece McDougall conducted a site visit of the Potts Hill Reservoir in association with Megan Jones (Tanner & Associates Pty Ltd), Nicole Woodrow (Landcom), Camille Abbot (Landcom), Vincent Berkhout (Cite Urban Strategies), Phil Bennett (Sydney Water), Deborah Arthur (Heritage Office) and Stewart Watters (Heritage Office).

It was further noted that following the site visit the Heritage Council sub-committee met and discussed the proposal in further detail. As a result of the site visit and discussions the sub-committee recognises that some of the site could be developed provided it does not have an impact on the heritage significance of the site.

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council

- 1. acknowledges that the site, with its distinctive composition of elements, is a significant heritage landmark and one of the few such above ground open reservoir structures in Sydney and New South Wales. Several of the most important site components, including Screening Tank, Reservoir No. 1, Reservoir No. 2, and the c.1920s complex of Water Boosting and Pumping Station are also unique in New South Wales;**
- 2. request Landcom and Sydney Water to provide further information for Heritage Council consideration on the development controls proposed for the surplus land, the strategy for the future management, conservation and interpretation of the site and structures, through for example a draft DCP or Master Plan for the site. Upon the Heritage Council being satisfied with this information, it agrees to consider the proposed revised curtilage;**
- 3. does support some development in the property area (proposed surplus land) – on the eastern side (industrial) and the north-western and southern side (residential);**
- 4. agrees to advise Landcom and Sydney Water of its concerns regarding potential development of the south-west portion of the site and request this be considered in the DCP or Master Plan. These concerns include the subcommittee's view that the embankment along the western and south western side of Reservoir 2 is part of the reservoir structure and may be**

of heritage significance. Further information on how the proposed development could be accomplished without impact on the significance of this element is requested;

5. requests that future proposals for the use, conservation and interpretation of Reservoir 1 be included in the draft DCP or Master Plan for the site;
6. agrees, subject to the satisfactory resolution of the matters raised in this resolution, and to the consideration of submissions received once the Section 60 is advertised, to the proposal to place inert soil/overburden in Reservoir No. 1, noting that the proposal is reversible. The Heritage Council requests the following information be included in the s60 application in the form of a Statement of Heritage Impact:
 - a. what impact the soil/overburden will have (if any) on the fabric of Reservoir 1 and its associated features;
 - b. what impact the soil/overburden will have (if any) on the operational water infrastructure below the floor level of Reservoir 1;
 - c. a description of the materials and methods that would be used for the construction of the proposed new wall and batters;
 - d. detailed plans to show the location of the new wall, which would preferably have a minimum five metre buffer around the entirety of the reservoir walls;
 - e. provide an explanation of the structural rational of the proposal;
 - f. an interpretation strategy.

4.4 2006 NSW Government Heritage Volunteer Awards

Members were advised that a volunteer is someone who provides their time to their community freely without financial payment. Individuals volunteer for a number of reasons: to derive personal satisfaction from a worthwhile activity; to be of service to the community; to learn new skills; and to be active.

It was noted that volunteering is a fundamental part of heritage conservation work in Australia. Heritage work is particularly attractive to seniors, the fastest growing sector of the Australian population. Many of the projects funded through the Heritage Incentives Program would not be possible without the involvement of volunteers.

The NSW Government Heritage Volunteer Awards recognise the outstanding performance of individuals and community organisations that have fulfilled the following assessment criteria of significantly improved the profile of heritage management in their local communities; motivated and managed heritage projects; and promoted the value of heritage by significant means and through exemplary service.

Owing to the Heritage Council's decision at its April meeting to consider recipients nominated from previous years, this year's program was not publicly advertised.

As there were no remaining nominations for Aboriginal persons or groups that had not yet received an award, the Strategy Committee considered it appropriate to actively pursue nominations for four Aboriginal awards.

Arrangements were made earlier this year for Minister Sartor to present the awards in the Jubilee Room at Parliament House on Thursday 16 November. This date has been confirmed by Minister Sartor's office.

In view of the success of the tour and lunch following last year's presentation ceremony negotiations are underway with the Naval Historical Society in regard to a lunch and guided tour at Garden Island. Transport could possibly include historic buses and ferries.

Members considered the list of proposed nominations and

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council:

- 1. approve the 19 nominations proposed above for the 2006 NSW Government Heritage Volunteer Awards;**
- 2. approve the presentation of awards to David and Suzanne Suttor and Uncle George Rose;**
- 3. approve a special presentation to be made to Mr Marshall in Deniliquin by the Heritage Council Chair;**
- 4. approve the presentation of the award to Jon Breen as a Lifetime Achievement Award; and**
- 5. recommend the holding over of the nomination for the Tempe Bus & Truck Museum to 2007.**

4.5 Port Macquarie Government House Site

Members considered a report which advised that the owner of the Port Macquarie Government House Site, Mr Peter Kuhn of Thornet Pty Ltd, is building a new development over the archaeological remains of the former Government House in Clarence Street, Port Macquarie. This archaeological site is listed on the State Heritage Register. Conditions of Approval attached to previous consents require in - situ conservation of some of the remains and interpretation as part of the new development. Mr Peter Kuhn has formally requested funding assistance for the documentation and construction of an Interpretative Centre in the above development.

Members were advised that preliminary proposals and costings have been obtained by Mr Kuhn for the works. A letter dated 23 August 2006 from Mr Kuhn states that his request for funding relates to the additional works above those anticipated at the time the site was purchased and which would provide an improved outcome through better on-site interpretation. Mr Kuhn has provided comparative figures for the already agreed scheme and for his proposals which indicate his additional costs would be in the vicinity of \$108,000. Review of the costings by the Heritage Office indicates that some cost items were already required as part of the existing conditions of consent. Accordingly a lesser amount is recommended for approval as a grant to Mr Kuhn.

The Heritage Office has recently been involved with the Port Macquarie-Hastings Council in some important initiatives for the historical archaeology of Port Macquarie. After discussions in June 2006, the design of the new Cultural Centre has been modified to incorporate and display some of the archaeology in-situ. It is likely that on-site interpretation at the Cultural Centre site and at the Government House site can be linked, and the Heritage Office and the local Council are anticipated to consider the development of a future 'convict settlement archaeological trail' using a linked interpretation programme at different sites within the Port Macquarie CBD.

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council:

recommend to the Minister the provision of a \$70,000 grant to Mr Peter Kuhn to assist with the provision of an on-site interpretative centre within the new 'FOCUS' development at 2 Clarence Street, Port Macquarie.

4.6 Archaeological Code of Practice

The Historical Archaeology Code of Practice has been revised with the input of the Archaeology Advisory Panel since February 2006. It is intended to publish the new edition and make it available via the Heritage Office website. Re-writing of the document appeared on the *Archaeology Policy and Strategic Projects Table (2004)* which was endorsed by the Heritage Council meeting in April 2004.

The Historical Archaeology Code of Practice was first published in 1993 and arose from a resolution of the Heritage Administrator's conference in 1986. The first edition of the Code of Practice was published by the Heritage Council of NSW with the assistance of a grant from the (then) National Estates Grants Program.

The purpose of the Code of Practice is to identify and articulate the roles of the different sectors and groups which 'deliver' archaeological projects. The Code proposes an agreed set of responsibilities and procedures which can be accepted voluntarily by all parties such as developers, archaeologists and state and local government authorities.

The revised 2006 edition of the document is slightly longer than the 1993 version, but it still forms a brief document operating at a level of general principles rather than specifying outcomes.

Revision of the Code into a new Edition has been completed during 2006 by Heritage Office staff with assistance from the Archaeology Advisory Panel. At the most recent AAP meeting held on 8 June 2006, under Item 4.2, Code of Practice: *it was resolved by the Panel to recommend to the Executive of the Heritage Office and to the Heritage Council that the new version of the Code of Practice document should be published and made available via the website.*

Revision of existing older policy documents was identified as an important task to be undertaken by the re-formed Archaeology Advisory Panel in 2004. The updated Code of Practice is the first of an anticipated series of updated and new policy documents

being prepared by the Heritage Office archaeologists with review by the Archaeology Panel prior to their review and/or endorsement by the Heritage Council.

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council

- 1. approve the proposed publication of the second edition of the document: *Historical Archaeology Code of Practice*, attached as Annexure A subject to the addition information in relation to contact and post contact sites; and**
- 2. that the Panel and staff involved in this important publication be congratulated.**

4.7 Delegation to the Aboriginal Heritage Advisory Panel

Members were advised that on 26 September 2005 the Minister approved the arrangements for the 2006-08 Heritage Incentives Program. While the other delegations outlined above have since been renewed, delegation 3 to the Aboriginal Heritage Advisory Panel to make funding recommendations during 2006-08 was overlooked.

The report recommended that the Heritage Council endorse the delegation to the Aboriginal Heritage Advisory Panel to make funding recommendations direct to the Minister through the Executive Director under the 2006-08 Heritage Incentives Program.

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council delegates to the Aboriginal Heritage Advisory Panel, with the consent of the Minister, the Heritage Council's powers under Section 106 of the Heritage Act to recommend funding under Program 9 (Aboriginal heritage projects) at the committee's meetings to be held through 2006-2008 direct to the Minister through the Executive Director. The maximum limit of these recommendations will be \$400,000 for the two year period.

4.8 Criterion D (Social Significance) Guidelines

Members were advised that the SHR Committee initiated discussion concerning SHR Criterion D in early 2001. It was noted that the Heritage Office and National Parks & Wildlife Service staff met with Joan Domicelj and discussed a number of matters that needed to be addressed in the identification and assessment of social values, as well as new directions in this area then being put forward by UNESCO. Due to other work commitments, the project did not proceed at that time.

In October 2005 the Heritage Council adopted the History Panel's Strategic Projects 2004-2007 list, which included Project #2 "Develop a Guideline for SHR Criterion D". The Panel had already begun working on this project in 2004, picking up on the

discussions referred to above, after it had largely completed a similar guideline for Criterion A.

The Panel received a presentation at its November 2005 meeting from consultant Ms Chris Johnston on recent work and scholarship concerning social significance. Ms Johnston is a leading figure in the preparation of social significance studies and guidelines, and her contribution to the Panel's discussions has proven to be invaluable. This contribution is acknowledged in the preface to the draft guideline. A draft discussion paper has been prepared based to a very large degree upon the presentation made by Ms Johnston.

The History Advisory Panel now considers that the draft Guideline has reached a stage where it needs to be circulated to a wider Heritage Council audience for their thoughts and comments, and agreed at its 17th August meeting to advise the Heritage Council to proceed with such circulation. It is also recommended that this draft be submitted to Ms Johnston for her critical review.

Recommendation

that the Heritage Council:

1. notes the draft document titled *Assessing Social Significance through State Heritage Register Criterion D: a draft guideline for using the criterion*;
2. notes the advice of the History Advisory Panel that the draft document be circulated for comment and discussion within the Heritage Council, Panels and Heritage Office;
3. requests the Heritage Office circulate the draft document to the Heritage Council, its other advisory panels and Heritage Office staff and seek their comments;
4. requests the Heritage Office submit the draft at this stage to social values consultant Ms Chris Johnston for her critical review;
5. requests the History Advisory Panel submit a further draft version to the SHR Committee before seeking wider public comment on the draft during 2007; and
6. request HC members to provide comments by end of November.

4.9 Wentworth Memorial Church: Notice of Intention to Consider Listing on the State Heritage Register

Members were advised that the Wentworth Memorial Church was nominated by the Royal Australian Institute of Architects in December, 2004. Dating from 1964, it represents one of the finest examples of the non domestic work of the Sydney School style of architecture.

It was noted that the architect of the Wentworth Memorial Church Don Gazzard (b.1929) is recognised as an important Australian architect of the mid to late Twentieth Century.

The proposed item meets at least four of the seven Heritage Council criteria for listing on the State Heritage Register in terms of criteria a (historical values) b (associations with people or groups of people) c (aesthetic values) f (rarity) g (representative values).

The indicative curtilage for the listing will enclose the State significant components of the item. It is proposed that the indicative curtilage be confirmed on the ground by way of a survey.

Members were advised that the church is now largely redundant with religious services occurring only once a month. Similarly the earlier c.1933 Church Hall at street level (which is not recommended for listing) is also redundant, it's most recent use as a childcare centre having ceased.

It is to be noted that the physical location of the church on a rocky outcrop presents a number of problems for its future use. At present access to the church can only be made by foot via a steep path and stairs, thus presenting access and egress issues for the building.

The nomination recognises movable heritage commissioned for the Church including the metalwork by Helge Larsen (b.1929) and Darani Lewers (b.1936), the wall hanging by Mona Hessing (b.1933 – d.2001), and church furniture by Fishers Modern Homes.

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council:

1. considers the item known as "Wentworth Memorial Chapel" at 32 B Fitzwilliam Road, Vaucluse, defined in accordance with the indicative curtilage shown at Annexure B may be of State heritage significance;
2. in accordance with section 33(1)(a) of the *Heritage Act*, will give each person that it considers to be an affected owner or occupier written notice that it is going to consider whether or not to recommend the listing of the item concerned (*a Notice of Intention to Consider Listing*);
3. in accordance with section 33(1)(b) of the *Heritage Act*, within 14 days after notice of intention to consider listing is given, will cause a notice of intention to consider listing to be published in a newspaper circulating in the area in which the item is situated, inviting submissions on the listing, specifying a date as the closing date for the receipt of submissions of 56 days after the publication of the newspaper notice and the manner in which submissions may be made; and
4. direct the Heritage Office to seek advice from the Museums and Galleries Foundation and the Religious Property Advisory Panel on the long term management of the movable heritage.

5.0 Matters for Information or Noting

Nil

6.0 Reports from Heritage Council Committees and Panels

- 6.1 Approvals Committee
- 6.2 State Heritage Register Committee
- 6.3 Strategy Committee
- 6.4 Aboriginal Heritage Advisory Panel
- 6.5 Archaeology Advisory Panel
- 6.6 Fire Access Services Advisory Panel
- 6.7 History Advisory Panel
- 6.8 Maritime Archaeology Advisory Panel
- 6.9 Technical Advisory Panel
- 6.10 Religious Property Advisory Panel

Resolved:

that the Heritage Council notes the reports.

7.0 General Business

Nil

8.0 Presentations

Nil

There being no further business the Chair closed the meeting at 11.50 am.

Sharon Sullivan
Deputy Chair
Heritage Council of NSW
Date:

Next Meeting of the Heritage Council of NSW
Wednesday 1st November 2007
Heritage Office
Marist Place
Parramatta